

THE SENTINEL.

DAILY, TRI-WEEKLY & WEEKLY.

RUFUS KING, EDITOR.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, AUGUST 3.

Republican State Convention.

A Republican State Convention will be held at the City of Madison on the 25th of August next, at 12 o'clock P.M., for the purpose of nominating candidates for State officers to be supported by the party at the ensuing general election; and to transact any other business that may properly come before it.

At the subject of selecting delegates to the next National Convention, it is agreed that the people in their primary caucuses, and the district conventions make such expression as will indicate to their delegates and the State Convention, the general sentiment of the State on that subject.

Each Assembly District will be entitled to two delegates.

It is recommended that District Conventions for the selection of delegates be held throughout the State, or before the 25th day of August; and that committees make early calls for that purpose; and it is especially urged that every district be duly represented in the Convention.

H. A. TENNEY,
W. C. RODGERS,
W. C. SMITH,
W. P. SMITH,
E. L. PHILLIPS,
GEORGE GARD,
GEORGE L. CRAVEN.

The Republican State Convention.

We are within less than a month of the time appointed for the meeting of the Republican State Convention, which is charged with the duty of selecting Candidates for the support of the Republican Party at the election next November. We have already expressed the opinion that notwithstanding the conceded numerical preponderance of the Republicans in this State, we shall need to insure success, not only a good effort, but a full vote. The political circular from Judge LARABEE, which we published on Monday, and which so strongly appealed to the Federal Office-holders, Postmasters especially, the paramount duty of "working early and late for the success of the Democratic Party," is but one of many evidences that the leaders of that party are quickly, but energetically at work, rallying their forces for the fall campaign, in the reasonable expectation that if apathy, indifference, or disuse shall weaken the Republican strength, they may take a snap judgement and steal a victory. It is against this danger that we desire to warn our friends, in good season; and returning to their recollections the campaign of 1857, to caution them against over-confidence which proved so nearly fatal to us, in that year.

It is a fact, though scarcely a creditable one, that elections for City, Town and County Officers, such as Sheriffs, Registers, Clerks of Court, Constables, &c., draw out a fuller vote than the biennial contest for State Officers. One obvious reason for this is, that the candidates being more numerous and the offices more lucrative, in these municipal and county elections, there is more of personal interest, fact and more of personal influence exerted. Yet of how much greater consequence is it, to the body of the People, that the right men should be entrusted with the management of the affairs of State, than that this man should be elected Sheriff, that one County Treasurer, or another Constable. The proper conduct of our State affairs affects us abroad as well as at home, and more or less concerns every elector in Wisconsin. It should be the study of our Republican newspapers, speakers and leaders to impress this truth upon the People, and to use every legitimate means to call out the full electoral strength at the State elections.

Many of our contemporaries have already entered quite fully, and generally in the right spirit, upon the discussion of the relative claims and merits of the different candidates who have been proposed for the several State Offices. It is regretted that, in some instances, over-zealous friends have sought to advance the interests of their particular favorites, by disparaging the services, or deriding the qualifications, of others who have been named for the same posts. The usual effect of this course is, to engender strife and ill-feeling in our ranks, and a not infrequent result, to dislodge those, who may think that their friends have been unjustly assailed, or unfairly treated. Differences of opinion as to the claims, qualifications, availability and location of candidates, are unavoidable; but let these differences be expressed and urged, in good faith and a kindly spirit, as become those who are contending, not for the advancement of personal ends, or local interests, but for the triumph of free principles and the success of a great cause.

On the 25th—The Washington correspondent of the Philadelphia *North American* is very severe upon the Administration for refusing to appoint J. C. G. KENNEDY to superintend the publication of the next census statistics. It considers him incompetent and unworthy, and from the manner in which the last returns were compiled, we think he is right. The publication was delayed four years, and when the report made its appearance, it was full of blunders, and wholly unreliable. If the progress of the country for the five years Mr. KENNEDY was "Superintending" it had not rendered it quite worthless, his errors would. It ought to be published within a year, at furthest, after the returns are made. But as the Superintendent's salary continues only till the work is printed, it is judiciously deferred to the very last moment. Cannot the evil be remedied?

On the 25th—The white population of Cattaraugus, and the black, of which 265,000 are negroes, is 700,000. The whole maritime commerce of the island amounts only to \$4,700,000. There are 1,600 estates, 114 cop per miles, and the total value of the produce is estimated at \$70,000,000. The population of Eluvian and the small places around it is nearly 200,000 souls; foreign residents 3,000, and peninsular Spaniards 22,000. The standing army consists of 8,000 and 20,000 men, and will doubtless receive much credit. We learn that St. Clair and Sanicile are crowded with the negroes, and the latter, though not yet fully settled, has already become a negro colony. The Navy in the ports and around the island is usually twenty-two to twenty-eight vessels of war of all sizes, manned by five thousand men.

SINGULAR OCCURRENCE.—An occurrence which appeared calamitous resulted joyfully at Sandusky, O., a few days since. A lady who had become deranged, having herself with the curtains of her bed, but was discovered while in the agonies of death, as it seemed, and was restored to bleeding. Strange to say, not only life but reason returned. A Convent, thus composed, though it might not select precisely the candidates most acceptable to this, or that section of the State, or party, would hardly fail to present a ticket which the whole world would be proud to support, and in whose election the people of the State would have an ample guarantee that the government would be honestly, ably and faithfully administered.

The Opposition in the Slaveholding States. Before the 2d of November, it is to be expected, in the indications which present themselves to us from the 1st to the 20th, the work will be finished, and the election will be carried in the slaveholding State in the Presidential election of next year. *Washington State.*

So says the Douglas organ at the Federal Capital, and it seems quite satisfied at the prospect of a "united South." Of course the *States* does not think there is anything "sectional" about this; but only hint at the possibility that the North may be "united" too, and straightway the *States* becomes alarmed for the safety of the Union.

The reason why the *States* thinks that the "South" will be "united" in the Presidential election of next year, is as follows:

"The citizens must be blind to reason who can fancy that the South will be able to sustain itself from the direct action between straight-out Slaveholders and their slaves."

In this view of the case, the *States* quotes approvingly, what Senator DAVIS and Congressman BARKSDALE said in the Mississippi Democratic State Convention. "These gentlemen," says the *States*, "Did not express themselves in whispers as to what they were prepared to do in the event of the triumph of Black Republicanism in 1860—They but give utterance to the sentiment of every true Southerner, when they declared that submission to its rule was an impossibility. Well-born hearts honor is dearer than interest, and a glorious death preferable to an ignoble life."

The *States* is the same paper which recently intimated to the Heads of Department at Washington, that public opinion in the South demanded that they should hold on to "the purse, the fund, and the treasury," in case "Slaveholding" triumphed in the election of 1860. With accommodating Secretaries, a "united South," and the determination in all "well-born" (Southern) hearts, that "submission" to Republicans is an "impossibility," the *States* evidently hopes that Slave Democracy will be able to retain its ill-gotten power, no matter what may be the verdict of the ballot box in the next Presidential contest; we rather think that the *States* has not yet lost its wisdom teeth.

New York CANAL TOLLS.—The canal tolls of New York State for the third week in July exhibit a decrease from the same week last year, of \$28,372. The decrease for the season amounts thus far to \$826,299. The new tariff is expected to restore the receipts to their normal proportion, and to make good the deficiency thus created by the very small movement of property.

Mr. PARTINGTON asks, very indignant, if the bills before Parliament are not counter to passing away. He says that they should be so much reduced in passing away.

It may seem a paradox, but it is nevertheless true, that hit a man upon whatever part of the body you will, the blow is sure to go against his stomach.

The Press and the Selection Case.

We particularly notice that the *Sentinel* and *Free Press* are endeavoring to provoke us into a personal attack upon the slaves. The *Sentinel* has, in its issue of the 2d of August, a long article on the subject of the "Selection Case," in which it is asserted that the *Free Press* is "persecution, instead of protection" to the slaves.

Considering that the *News* commented on "Selection" of which it complains by misrepresenting the course and motives of the *Sentinel* and thereby provoking the ridicule under which it labors, the above paragraph is refreshingly cool and characteristically impudent. Probably "the defence," which is conducted by two prominent Democratic lawyers, will be charged by the *News* with the same "design" which it falsely ascribes to the *Sentinel*.

We cannot help thinking, however, that if the newspaper of the *News* is in the case, and the *Free Press* is not, the *Free Press* is the greater offender. The *Free Press* is the *News* in the *News*, and the *News* in the *Free Press*. The *Free Press* is the *News* in the *News*, and the *News* in the *Free Press*. The *Free Press* is the *News* in the *News*, and the *News* in the *Free Press*.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it, the *News* naturally, though erroneously supposes that the motive of the *Sentinel* for *contesting* the disputed election is the same which prompted it to publish them. As to the "other" to which it refers, we exist only in the *News* actions.

The *News* judges others by itself. Looking at the case from a partisan stand-point, and only considering how much "political capital" can be manufactured out of it,

THE SENTINEL.
COMMERCIAL.

Tuesday, August 2, 1859.

Money Market, &c.
Exchange on New York continuing firm at the advance
ed rate, 2½ per cent. The demand for discounts is
very moderate, and all the offers of good paper are
taken by the regular houses at 2½ per cent. Outlays
for money for twenty from 1 to 2½ per cent a month. Not
much doing at present in this line. Gold sells at 2½ per cent.

We hear some talk of raising the discount on
notes currency, but we do not know whether the feeling
will develop itself into any definite action or not. The
agents of the operations of the Bank of America, and
also it is to be seen that it would be best for our banks
to do all in their power to restrict the circulation of
this wildcat currency of Illinois in Wisconsin. Most of
it is irredeemable at home, and, of course, must soon
be either become worthless abroad.

EXCISES OF THE MILWAUKEE & MISSISSIPPI RAIL-
ROAD on July 1.—The following are the excises of the
Milwaukee & Mississippi Railroad for the month of
July, this year, and last:

Freight, \$1,000 to \$1,000, 1½ per cent.

Freight, \$1,001 to \$1,000, 1½ per cent.

</